AUG. 0 1 2006

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Act of 1995. no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number 10/620,315

Filing Date July 14, 2003

First Named Inventor Moshe Rosenberg

Group Art Unit 1615

Examiner Name Melissa S. Mercier

Attorney Docket Number 309J-000310US

Total Number of Pages in This Subm	ission	Attorney Docket Numbe	er	309J-000310US	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form		nent Papers Application)		After Allowance Communication to Group	
Fee Attached	Drawing(s)		<u> </u>	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
X Amendment / Response	Licensin	ng-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) companying Petition		Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		to Convert to a nal Application		Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request	Power of Change Address	of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence	X	Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Il Disclaimer		receipt acknowledgment postcard	
Information Disclosure Statement		ntity Statement t for Refund			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Authorization to Charge Deposit Account Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0893 for any additional fees associated with this paper or during the pendency of this application, including any extensions of time for consideration of the documents enclosed.				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Gary Baker, Reg. No. 41,595, Quine Intellectual Property Law Group, P.C.					
Signature Sample A					
Date July 28; 2006					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on					

Typed or printed name	Evelyn Co

Signature

1.

Date Mily 28, 2006

ŧ

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on 14414 28.

PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

Appl. No.

10/620,315

Confirmation No. 7949

Applicant

Moshe Rosenberg, et al.

Filed

July 14, 2003

TC/A.U.

1761

Examiner

Melissa S. Mercier

Docket No.

309J-000310US

Customer No.:

22798

Client Ref No.:

2002-280-2

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 28, 2006, Applicants elect to prosecute Group I (claims 1-26), with traverse. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the following remarks.

The present Office Action requires restriction of the claims into the following groups:

Group I, claims 1-26, drawn to a composite gel.

Group II, claims 27-60, drawn to a method for preparing a composite gel.

Group III, claims 61-66, drawn to methods of administering supplemental constituents in a composite gel.

Applicants submit that restriction between Groups I through III is unnecessary. According to MPEP § 803, the Examiner should examine all claims in an application, even though they are directed to distinct inventions, unless to do so would create a serious burden. Here, the burden is minimal because of the considerable commonality of elements between the